

Our Ref: [REDACTED]  
 Your Ref: EN020027



Date: 12<sup>th</sup> May 2026

Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils' responses to action points from Issue Specific Hearing 2

ACTION POINT	RESPONSE
2	BMSDC request a precise definition as to what ground conditions/types would be considered unfeasible for the use of non percussive piling. These would need to be agreed in advance following exploratory investigations and report undertaken by a suitably qualified individual in geophysics. If justification cannot be provided then BMSDC ask that percussive piling is prohibited.
10	<p>Reasonable time is required to allow for submissions to be down / uploaded, a file created, checked for completeness, consultations sent out, for consultees to review advise and respond, for advice to be reviewed and the submissions assessed, a decision written up, the decision reviewed and issued.</p> <p>Within all of these steps of the process there needs to be a degree of tolerance for other workload, holidays and other absence, system issues, and the need for dialogue between the applicant and discharging authority.</p> <p>Refer also to BMSDC response to ExQ1 REP3-089</p>
11	Defer to comments of SCC
16	Defer to comments of SCC and BMSDC response to ExQ1 REP3-089
17	Defer to comments of SCC
19	By D5 – Liaise with other LPAs
20	Defer to comments of SCC
22	Defer to comments of SCC
25	<p>Viewpoint 2.05 - Mellis Conservation Area, Hall Farmhouse (1181726) and Barn (1032806) – We would also like to see photomontage with shorter towers</p> <p>Viewpoint 2.14 - Roydon Hall (1033215) and Barn (1284584)</p> <p>HE3 - Wenham Castle SM and LB (1003759 and 1033405)</p> <p>HE24 - Church of St Mary (Copdock and Washbrook) (1194408) - this visualisation has been updated at the request of the ExA, so from the revised viewpoint</p> <p>Viewpoint 2.28, HE35 Creeting Hall</p> <p>Viewpoint 2.16 Badley Hall</p>



**Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils**  
 Endeavour House, 8 Russell Road, Ipswich IP1 2BX  
 Telephone: (0300) 1234 000  
[www.babergh.gov.uk](http://www.babergh.gov.uk) [www.midsuffolk.gov.uk](http://www.midsuffolk.gov.uk)

	<p>BMSDC request that, where existing viewpoints do not capture the issues raised (which is the case for almost all of them), that the Applicant would respond in writing, considering the potentially increased effects on receptors as a result of LoD (even if these are within the same classification bracket as in the original assessment).</p> <p>BMSDC would like to see a commitment that in these locations any deviation would be agreed with the discharging LPA.</p>
28	Defer to SNDC / NCC

